

## UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

DATE MAILED:

Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO.                  | FILING DATE             | FIRST NAMED INVEN | ITOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |              |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|------|---------------------|--------------|
| 08/865./                         | 419 05/28/97            | / AUGER           |      | <b>1</b>            | CLINKOOUZ    |
| -                                |                         | IM51/0507         | ¬ [  | EXAMINER 1          |              |
| MICHAEL A GOLLIN SPENCER & FRANK |                         |                   | , .  | DUSHE.              | UK. C        |
| SUITE 3                          | 00 EAST                 |                   | ſ    | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER |
| 1100 NE                          | 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE NW |                   |      | 1 /51               |              |
| . MACHINE                        | TOM BO COMOS-3          |                   |      |                     |              |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or pr ceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

05/07/98



## Interview Summary

Application No. **08/865,419** 

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Caroline Dusheck

Group Art Unit

Auger

| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  |
|---|
| (1) Caroline Dusheck (3)  |
| (2) James Wray (4)  |
| Date of Interview Apr 23, 1998  |
| Type: 🛮 Telephonic 🗀 Personal (copy is given to 🗀 applicant 🗀 applicant's representative).  |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:   Yes  No. If yes, brief description:   |
|   |
| Agreement 🛛 was reached. 🗌 was not reached.   |
| Claim(s) discussed: None  |
| Identification of prior art discussed: none   |
|   |
| Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:  Mr. Wray requested that the time for the Office Action mailed 3/30/98 be restarted because the Action was mailed to the incorrect address.   |
|   |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  |
| 1. X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  |
| Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.   |
| Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked. |
| Carolie d'Austrel   |
| Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.  |